

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

**DARRON R. PERKINS,**

Plaintiff,

V.

**ST. CLAIR COUNTY JAIL, et al.,**

Defendants.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

Civil No. **05-754-MJR-CJP**

**ORDER**

**PROUD, Magistrate Judge:**

Before the Court is plaintiff's motion for "continuous response to discovery," which, when read together with plaintiff's motion to "clarify, are construed as seeking a stay of discovery until counsel is appointed to represent plaintiff, and extension of time to respond to outstanding discovery requests. (**Docs. 20 and 24**).

By separate order the Court has denied plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. Therefore, no stay is warranted, but a brief extension of time is necessary to permit plaintiff to respond to a backlog of discovery requests from the defendants.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion for "continuous response to discovery" (**Doc. 20**) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to respond to outstanding discovery requests (**Doc. 24**) is **GRANTED**, in that on or before **February 1, 2008**, plaintiff shall respond to all outstanding discovery requests propounded by the defendants.

**DATED: January 4, 2008**

s/ Clifford J. Proud  
**CLIFFORD J. PROUD**  
**U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE**